

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

AUSTIN LEGAL VIDEO, LLC, § No. 1:23-cv-00421-DAE
DEPO-NOTES, LLC, and PASQUAL §
PEREZ, III, §

Plaintiffs, §

vs. §

DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS, LLC D/B/A §
LEXITAS, COURT REPORTERS §
CLEARINGHOUSE, INC., ALDERSON §
REPORTING COMPANY, INC., §
SOUTHWEST REPORTING & VIDEO §
SERVICE, INC., KENNEDY §
REPORTING SERVICE, INC., TEXAS §
COURT REPORTERS ASSOCIATION, §
INC., SPEECH TO TEXT INSTITUTE, §
INC., SHERRI FISHER, LORRIE §
SCHNOOR, SONIA TREVINO, AND §
SHELLY TUCKER §

Defendants.

ORDER

On November 20, 2023, the Court ordered that Speech to Text Institute must appear through licensed counsel within twenty days.¹ (Dkt. # 55.)
The Court ordered that if licensed counsel did not enter an appearance on behalf of

¹ Corporations are fictional legal persons who can only be represented by licensed counsel. Rowland v. California Men's Colony, 506 U.S. 194, 201-02 (1993)

the defendant within that time, its defenses may be stricken without further notice, and the Court may conduct further proceedings in accordance with the law, including but not limited to, entry of an order of default and/or default judgment. (Dkt. # 55.)

The deadline for responding to the Court's Order has passed. Speech to Text Institute was warned that failure to respond to the Court's Order could result in the entry of default pursuant to Rule 55. Speech to Text Institute has not responded to the Court's order.

Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that **on or before January 19, 2024**, Speech to Text Institute shall show cause why default should not be entered against it for failing to defend this case and failing to comply with the Court's order. See FED. R. CIV. P. 16(f)(1)(A), 38(b)(2)(A)(vi), 55(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: Austin, Texas, January 11, 2024.



David Alan Ezra
Senior U.S. District Judge